

Functional programming with λ -tree syntax

Ulysse Gérard, Dale Miller, **Gabriel Scherer**

Parsifal, Inria Saclay, France

HOPE, September 23rd, 2018



Introduction

MLTS is an ongoing language design experiment. WIP!
Extend ML with **binder handling** constructs from λ Prolog and Abella.

Theory: in logic programming, computation from *proof search*.
Binders: a new *quantifier* in the logic: ∇x , “for a fresh x ”.

Implementation: [online](#), compiles to λ Prolog.
<https://voodoos.github.io/mlts/>

Look and feel: a funny mix of FreshML and HOAS.
Mobility and λ -Tree Syntax.

MLTS: datatypes with binders

MLTS extends ML with binders.

Normal ML datatypes are *closed*.

Example of *open* datatype:

```
type lam =  
| App of lam * lam  
| Abs of lam => lam  
;;
```

(notice: no constructor for variables)

MLTS: datatypes with binders

MLTS extends ML with binders.

Normal ML datatypes are *closed*.

Example of *open* datatype:

```
type lam =  
| App of lam * lam  
| Abs of lam => lam  
;;
```

(notice: no constructor for variables)

Inhabitants:

$\lambda x. x$	<code>Abs (X \ X)</code>
$\lambda x. (x x)$	<code>Abs (X \ App (X, X))</code>
$(\lambda x. x) (\lambda x. x)$	<code>App (Abs (X \ X), Abs (X \ X))</code>

MLTS crash course

```
subst : lam -> lam -> lam
      subst t x u is t[x\u].
```

```
let rec subst t x u = match (x, t) with
```

MLTS crash course

```
subst : lam -> lam -> lam
      subst t x u is  $t[x \setminus u]$ .
```

`nab X in (X, X)` will only match if $x = t = X$ is a **nominal**.

```
let rec subst t x u = match (x, t) with
| nab X in (X, X) -> u
```

MLTS crash course

```
subst : lam -> lam -> lam
      subst t x u is  $t[x \setminus u]$ .
```

`nab X Y in (X, Y)` will only match two **distinct** nominals.

```
let rec subst t x u = match (x, t) with
| nab X in (X, X) -> u
| nab X Y in (X, Y) -> Y
```

MLTS crash course

```
subst : lam -> lam -> lam
      subst t x u is  $t[x \setminus u]$ .
```

```
let rec subst t x u = match (x, t) with
| nab X in (X, X) -> u
| nab X Y in (X, Y) -> Y
| (x, App(m, n)) ->
    App(subst m x u, subst n x u)
```


MLTS crash course

```
subst : lam -> lam -> lam
      subst t x u is  $t[x \setminus u]$ .
```

```
let rec subst t x u = match (x, t) with
| nab X in (X, X) -> u
| nab X Y in (X, Y) -> Y
| (x, App(m, n)) ->
    App(subst m x u, subst n x u)
| (x, Abs(r)) -> Abs(Y \ subst (r @ Y) x u)
```

MLTS crash course

```
subst : lam -> lam -> lam
      subst t x u is t[x\u].
```

```
r : lam => lam
```

```
let rec subst t x u = match (x, t) with
| nab X in (X, X) -> u
| nab X Y in (X, Y) -> Y
| (x, App(m, n)) ->
    App(subst m x u, subst n x u)
| (x, Abs(r)) -> Abs(Y\ subst (r @ Y) x u)
```

MLTS crash course

subst : lam -> lam -> lam
subst t x u is $t[x \setminus u]$.

r : lam => lam

r @ Y : lam

```
let rec subst t x u = match (x, t) with
| nab X in (X, X) -> u
| nab X Y in (X, Y) -> Y
| (x, App(m, n)) ->
  App(subst m x u, subst n x u)
| (x, Abs(r)) -> Abs(Y \ subst (r @ Y) x u)
```

MLTS crash course

subst : lam -> lam -> lam
subst t x u is $t[x \setminus u]$.

r : lam => lam r @ Y : lam
(Y \ r @ Y) : lam => lam

```
let rec subst t x u = match (x, t) with
| nab X in (X, X) -> u
| nab X Y in (X, Y) -> Y
| (x, App(m, n)) ->
    App(subst m x u, subst n x u)
| (x, Abs(r)) -> Abs(Y \ subst (r @ Y) x u)
```

MLTS crash course

subst : lam -> lam -> lam
subst t x u is $t[x \setminus u]$.

r : lam => lam r @ Y : lam
(Y \ r @ Y) : lam => lam Abs(Y \ r @ Y) : lam

```
let rec subst t x u = match (x, t) with
| nab X in (X, X) -> u
| nab X Y in (X, Y) -> Y
| (x, App(m, n)) ->
    App(subst m x u, subst n x u)
| (x, Abs(r)) -> Abs(Y \ subst (r @ Y) x u)
```

MLTS crash course

```
subst : lam -> lam -> lam
      subst t x u is  $t[x \setminus u]$ .
```

In $\text{Abs}(Y \setminus \text{subst } (r @ Y) x u)$, no variable is ever free.
Binders **move**.

```
let rec subst t x u = match (x, t) with
| nab X in (X, X) -> u
| nab X Y in (X, Y) -> Y
| (x, App(m, n)) ->
    App(subst m x u, subst n x u)
| (x, Abs(r)) -> Abs(Y \ subst (r @ Y) x u)
```

Binder type

$(a \Rightarrow b)$: “open” values of type b under a binder of type a .

introduction $X \setminus t$, elimination $t @ X$.

Binder type

$(a \Rightarrow b)$: “open” values of type b under a binder of type a .
introduction $X \setminus t$, elimination $t @ X$.

```
type lam =  
| App of lam * lam  
| Abs of lam => lam;;
```

```
(X \ X) : lam => lam  
Abs(X \ App(X, X)) : lam  
(fun r -> Abs(Y \ App(r @ Y, r @ Y)))  
: (lam => lam) -> lam
```


Binder type

($a \Rightarrow b$): “open” values of type b under a binder of type a .
introduction $X \setminus t$, elimination $t @ X$.

```
type lam =  
| App of lam * lam  
| Abs of lam => lam;;
```

```
(X \ X) : lam => lam  
Abs(X \ App(X, X)) : lam  
(fun r -> Abs(Y \ App(r @ Y, r @ Y)))  
: (lam => lam) -> lam
```

$$\frac{\Gamma, X : A \vdash t : B}{\Gamma \vdash X \setminus t : A \Rightarrow B} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t : A \Rightarrow B \quad (X : A) \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash t @ X : B}$$

Binder type

($a \Rightarrow b$): “open” values of type b under a binder of type a .
introduction $X \setminus t$, elimination $t @ X$.

```
type lam =  
| App of lam * lam  
| Abs of lam => lam;;
```

```
(X \ X) : lam => lam  
Abs(X \ App(X, X)) : lam  
(fun r -> Abs(Y \ App(r @ Y, r @ Y)))  
: (lam => lam) -> lam
```

$$\frac{\Gamma, X : A \vdash t : B}{\Gamma \vdash X \setminus t : A \Rightarrow B} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t : A \Rightarrow B \quad (X : A) \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash t @ X : B}$$

(and in patterns)

new binder?

How to perform that substitution : $(\lambda y. y x)[x \backslash \lambda z. z]$?

new binder?

How to perform that substitution : $(\lambda y. y x)[x \backslash \lambda z. z]$?

```
subst (Abs(Y \ App(Y, ?))) ? (Abs(Z \ Z));;
```

new binder?

How to perform that substitution : $(\lambda y. y x)[x \backslash \lambda z. z]$?

`subst (Abs(Y \ App(Y, ?))) ? (Abs(Z \ Z));;`

We need a way to introduce a nominal to call `subst`.

new binder?

How to perform that substitution : $(\lambda y. y x)[x \backslash \lambda z. z]$?

```
subst (Abs(Y \ App(Y, ?))) ? (Abs(Z \ Z));;
```

We need a way to introduce a nominal to call subst.

```
new X in subst (Abs(Y \ App(Y, X))) X (Abs(Z \ Z));;
```

new binder?

How to perform that substitution : $(\lambda y. y x)[x \backslash \lambda z. z]$?

```
subst (Abs(Y \ App(Y, ?))) ? (Abs(Z \ Z));;
```

We need a way to introduce a nominal to call subst.

```
new X in subst (Abs(Y \ App(Y, X))) X (Abs(Z \ Z));;  
→ Abs(Y \ App(Y, Abs(Z \ Z)))
```

new binder?

How to perform that substitution : $(\lambda y. y x)[x \backslash \lambda z. z]$?

```
subst (Abs(Y \ App(Y, ?))) ? (Abs(Z \ Z));;
```

We need a way to introduce a nominal to call subst.

```
new X in subst (Abs(Y \ App(Y, X))) X (Abs(Z \ Z));;  
→ Abs(Y \ App(Y, Abs(Z \ Z)))
```

new X in: a scope in which a new nominal X is available.

new binder?

How to perform that substitution : $(\lambda y. y x)[x \backslash \lambda z. z]$?

```
subst (Abs(Y \ App(Y, ?))) ? (Abs(Z \ Z));;
```

We need a way to introduce a nominal to call subst.

```
new X in subst (Abs(Y \ App(Y, X))) X (Abs(Z \ Z));;  
  → Abs(Y \ App(Y, Abs(Z \ Z)))
```

new X in: a scope in which a new nominal X is available.

Effect: escape checking / occurs check.
(Safer when returning a closed type.)

Pure substitution

$$\frac{\Gamma, x \vdash t \quad \Gamma \vdash u}{\Gamma \vdash t[u/x]}$$

```
let rec subst (t : lam => lam) (u : lam) : lam =  
  match t with
```

Pure substitution

$$\frac{\Gamma, x \vdash t \quad \Gamma \vdash u}{\Gamma \vdash t[u/x]}$$

```
let rec subst (t : lam => lam) (u : lam) : lam =  
  match t with  
  | X \ X ->  
    u
```

Pure substitution

$$\frac{\Gamma, x \vdash t \quad \Gamma \vdash u}{\Gamma \vdash t[u/x]}$$

```
let rec subst (t : lam => lam) (u : lam) : lam =  
  match t with  
  | X \ X ->  
      u  
  | nab Y in (X \ Y) ->  
      Y
```

Pure substitution

$$\frac{\Gamma, x \vdash t \quad \Gamma \vdash u}{\Gamma \vdash t[u/x]}$$

```
let rec subst (t : lam => lam) (u : lam) : lam =  
  match t with  
  | X \ X ->  
    u  
  | nab Y in (X \ Y) ->  
    Y  
  | X \ App (m @ X, n @ X) ->  
    App (subst m u, subst n u)
```

Pure substitution

$$\frac{\Gamma, x \vdash t \quad \Gamma \vdash u}{\Gamma \vdash t[u/x]}$$

```
let rec subst (t : lam => lam) (u : lam) : lam =
  match t with
  | X \ X ->
      u
  | nab Y in (X \ Y) ->
      Y
  | X \ App (m @ X, n @ X) ->
      App (subst m u, subst n u)
  | X \ Abs (Y \ r @ X Y) ->
      Abs (Y \ subst (X \ r @ X Y) u)
```

Beta reduction

```
let rec beta t =  
  match t with  
  | nab X in X -> X
```

Beta reduction

```
let rec beta t =  
  match t with  
  | nab X in X -> X  
  | Abs r -> Abs (Y\ beta (r @ Y))
```


Beta reduction

```
let rec beta t =  
  match t with  
  | nab X in X -> X  
  | Abs r -> Abs (Y\ beta (r @ Y))  
  | App(m, n) ->  
    let m = beta m in  
    let n = beta n in
```

Beta reduction

```
let rec beta t =  
  match t with  
  | nab X in X -> X  
  | Abs r -> Abs (Y\ beta (r @ Y))  
  | App(m, n) ->  
    let m = beta m in  
    let n = beta n in  
    begin match m with  
    | Abs r -> beta (subst r n)
```

Beta reduction

```
let rec beta t =  
  match t with  
  | nab X in X -> X  
  | Abs r -> Abs (Y\ beta (r @ Y))  
  | App(m, n) ->  
    let m = beta m in  
    let n = beta n in  
    begin match m with  
    | Abs r -> beta (subst r n)  
    | _ -> App(m, n)
```

Beta reduction

```
let rec beta t =
  match t with
  | nab X in X -> X
  | Abs r -> Abs (Y\ beta (r @ Y))
  | App(m, n) ->
    let m = beta m in
    let n = beta n in
    begin match m with
    | Abs r -> beta (subst r n)
    | _ -> App(m, n)
    end
;;
```

Pattern matching

Unification modulo α , β_0 and η .

β_0 : $(\lambda x.B)y = B[y/x]$ provided y is not free in $\lambda x.B$

Pattern matching

Unification modulo α , β_0 and η .

β_0 : $(\lambda x.B)y = B[y/x]$ provided y is not free in $\lambda x.B$

Implied restrictions:

- Applications lists are **distinct nominals**.
(**na** X1 X2 **in** C(r @ X1 X2) \rightarrow ...).
- In $r @ X$, the nominal X is **not free** in r .

Pattern matching

Unification modulo α , β_0 and η .

β_0 : $(\lambda x.B)y = B[y/x]$ provided y is not free in $\lambda x.B$

Implied restrictions:

- Applications lists are **distinct nominals**.
(`nab X1 X2 in C(r @ X1 X2) -> ...`).
- In `r @ X`, the nominal `X` is **not free** in `r`.

```
| X \ App (m @ X, n @ X) ->  
  App (subst m u, subst n u)
```

Pattern matching

Unification modulo α , β_0 and η .

β_0 : $(\lambda x. B)y = B[y/x]$ provided y is not free in $\lambda x. B$

Implied restrictions:

- Applications lists are **distinct nominals**.
(`nab X1 X2 in C(r @ X1 X2) -> ...`).
- In `r @ X`, the nominal `X` is **not free** in `r`.

```
| X \ App (m @ X, n @ X) ->  
    App (subst m u, subst n u)
```

This is called **higher-order pattern unification**.

Decidable, most general unifiers.

Interpreter in λ Prolog: just ML

ML admits type-erasure:

can define an operational semantics on untyped terms.

\implies untyped interpreter in λ -prolog, all ML types map to tm.

```
kind tm          type .
type app         tm -> tm -> tm .
type lam        (tm -> tm) -> tm .
type let        tm -> (tm -> tm) -> tm .
type match      tm -> clauses -> tm .

type K          tm -> ... -> tm -> tm .

type cp         tm -> tm -> prop .
type eval      tm -> tm -> prop .

eval (let Def Body) VB :-
    eval Def VD,
    eval (Body VD) VB.
```

Interpreter in λ Prolog: MLTS

To extend to MLTS,

$$\text{transl}(a \Rightarrow b) = \text{tm} \rightarrow \text{transl}(b) \quad \text{transl}(_) = \text{tm}$$

Interpreter in λ Prolog: MLTS

To extend to MLTS,

$$\text{transl}(a \Rightarrow b) = \text{tm} \rightarrow \text{transl}(b) \quad \text{transl}(_) = \text{tm}$$

$$\text{transl}(X \setminus t) = x \setminus \text{transl}(t) \quad \text{transl}(t @ x) = \text{transl}(t) x$$

Interpreter in λ Prolog: MLTS

To extend to MLTS,

$$\text{transl}(a \Rightarrow b) = \text{tm} \rightarrow \text{transl}(b) \quad \text{transl}(_) = \text{tm}$$

$$\text{transl}(X \setminus t) = x \setminus \text{transl}(t) \quad \text{transl}(t @ x) = \text{transl}(t) x$$

`type new τ (tm \rightarrow τ) \rightarrow τ .`

Interpreter in λ Prolog: MLTS

To extend to MLTS,

$$\text{transl}(a \Rightarrow b) = \text{tm} \rightarrow \text{transl}(b) \quad \text{transl}(_) = \text{tm}$$

$$\text{transl}(X \setminus t) = x \setminus \text{transl}(t) \quad \text{transl}(t @ x) = \text{transl}(t) x$$

```
type new $\tau$     (tm  $\rightarrow$   $\tau$ )  $\rightarrow$   $\tau$ .
```

```
eval (new $\tau$  T) V :- pi x \ eval (T x) V.
```

Demo time?

Implementation by Ulysse Gérard.



Technology: Menhir + his code + Elpi + js_of_ocaml + Nice web stuff.

Conclusion & Future work

- This treatment of bindings has a clean semantic inspired by Abella.
- The interpreter was quite simple to write : \approx 140 lines of code

Future work:

- More examples in the meta-programming area (a compiler ?)
- Provide an operational semantics (small-step?) without primitive binding constructs.
- Statics checks such as pattern matching exhaustivity, use of distinct pattern variables in pattern application, nominals escaping their scope, etc.
- Design a "real" implementation. A compiler ? An extension to OCaml ? An abstract machine ?

<https://trymlts.github.io>

Thank you

Concrete syntax typing rules (1/2)

$$\frac{}{\Gamma, x : C \vdash x : C} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : A \rightarrow B \quad \Gamma \vdash N : A}{\Gamma \vdash (M \ N) : B}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash M : B}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{fun } x \rightarrow M) : A \rightarrow B}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, X : A \vdash M : B \quad \text{open } A}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{new } X \text{ in } M) : B} \quad \frac{\Gamma, X : A \vdash M : B \quad \text{open } A}{\Gamma \vdash (X \setminus M) : A \Rightarrow B}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash r : A_1 \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow A_n \Rightarrow A \quad \Gamma \vdash t_1 : A_1 \quad \dots \quad \Gamma \vdash t_n : A_n}{\Gamma \vdash (r \ @ \ t_1 \ \dots \ t_n) : A}$$

Concrete syntax typing rules (2/2)

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \text{term} : B \quad \Gamma \vdash B : R_1 : A \quad \dots \quad \Gamma \vdash B : R_n : A}{\Gamma \vdash \text{match term with } R_1 \mid \dots \mid R_n : A}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, X : C \vdash A : R : B \quad \text{open } C}{\Gamma \vdash A : \text{nab } X \text{ in } R : B} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash L : A \vdash \Delta \quad \Gamma, \Delta \vdash R : B}{\Gamma \vdash A : L \rightarrow R : B}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : A_1 \vdash \Delta_1 \quad \dots \quad \Gamma \vdash t_n : A_n \vdash \Delta_n}{\Gamma \vdash C(t_1, \dots, t_n) : A \vdash \Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n} \quad C \text{ of type } A_1 * \dots * A_n \rightarrow A$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash X_1 : A_1 \quad \dots \quad \Gamma \vdash X_n : A_n \quad \text{open } A_1 \dots \text{open } A_n}{\Gamma \vdash (r @ X_1 \dots X_n) : A \vdash r : A_1 \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow A_n \Rightarrow A}$$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash x : A \vdash \{x : A\}} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash p : A \vdash \Delta_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash q : B \vdash \Delta_2}{\Gamma \vdash (p, q) : A * B \vdash \Delta_1, \Delta_2}$$

Natural semantics for the abstract syntax (\mathcal{G} -logic [Gacek, 2009, Gacek et al., 2011]) (1/2)

$$\frac{\vdash \text{val } V}{\vdash V \Downarrow V} \quad \frac{\vdash M \Downarrow F \quad \vdash N \Downarrow U \quad \vdash \text{apply } F U V}{\vdash M @ N \Downarrow V}$$

$$\frac{\vdash (R U) \Downarrow V}{\vdash \text{apply } (\text{lam } R) U V} \quad \frac{\vdash (R (\text{fixpt } R)) \Downarrow V}{\vdash (\text{fixpt } R) \Downarrow V}$$

$$\frac{\vdash C \Downarrow tt \quad \vdash L \Downarrow V}{\vdash \text{cond } C L M \Downarrow V} \quad \frac{\vdash C \Downarrow ff \quad \vdash M \Downarrow V}{\vdash \text{cond } C L M \Downarrow V}$$

Natural semantics for the abstract syntax (2/2)

$$\frac{\vdash \nabla x.(E\ x) \Downarrow (V\ x)}{\vdash x \setminus E\ x \Downarrow x \setminus V\ x} \quad \frac{\vdash \nabla x.(E\ x) \Downarrow V}{\vdash \text{new } E \Downarrow V}$$

$$\frac{\vdash \text{pattern } T\ \text{Rule } U \quad \vdash U \Downarrow V}{\vdash (\text{match } T\ (\text{Rule} :: \text{Rules})) \Downarrow V} \quad \frac{\vdash (\text{match } T\ \text{Rules}) \Downarrow V}{\vdash (\text{match } T\ (\text{Rule} :: \text{Rules})) \Downarrow V}$$

$$\frac{\vdash \exists x.\text{pattern } T\ (P\ x)\ U}{\vdash \text{pattern } T\ (\text{all } (x \setminus P\ x))\ U} \quad \frac{\vdash (\lambda z_1 \dots \lambda z_m.(t \Longrightarrow s)) \supseteq (T \Longrightarrow U)}{\vdash \text{pattern } T\ (\text{nab } z_1 \dots \text{nab } z_m.(t \Longrightarrow s))\ U}$$

$$\frac{\vdash \lambda X.(X \Longrightarrow s) \supseteq (Y \Longrightarrow U)}{\vdash \text{pattern } Y\ (\text{nab } X\ \text{in } (X \Longrightarrow s))\ U \quad \vdash U \Downarrow V} \\ \frac{}{\vdash \text{match } Y\ \text{with } (\text{nab } X\ \text{in } (X \Longrightarrow s)) \Downarrow V}$$



Gacek, A. (2009).

A Framework for Specifying, Prototyping, and Reasoning about Computational Systems.

PhD thesis, University of Minnesota.



Gacek, A., Miller, D., and Nadathur, G. (2011).

Nominal abstraction.

Information and Computation, 209(1):48–73.



Miller, D. and Nadathur, G. (2012).

Programming with Higher-Order Logic.

Cambridge University Press.



Miller, D. and Palamidessi, C. (1999).

Foundational aspects of syntax.

ACM Computing Surveys, 31.



Nordstrom, B., Petersson, K., and Smith, J. M. (1990).
Programming in Martin-Löf's type theory : an introduction.
International Series of Monographs on Computer Science. Oxford:
Clarendon.